Modernizing the Medical Expense Tax Credit (METC)

A White Paper on Policy Innovation in Employee Health and Wellness

By: Gordon Hart

About Us

Benefits Alliance is Canada's leading organization of independent benefits advisory firms. As an industry-leading not-for-profit association, Benefits Alliance focuses on education, collaboration and advocacy by providing our members with tools, resources, and unique proprietary products and services that meet and exceed client expectations. Our members, who are employee benefit and retirement plan specialists, work with more than 13,000 employer plan sponsors who collectively have over two million Canadians enrolled in their plans. Our members are collectively responsible for more than $2.2 billion in annual benefit plan premiums and over $6 billion in retirement plan assets.

We are highly selective in who qualifies to join Benefits Alliance, and prospective firms are peer-nominated. Given the important role that Group Advisors play in the lives of all Canadians from coast to coast, only the best Group Advisors who are committed to the highest level of professionalism are invited into our membership.

Our mission is to represent the best interests of our clients and their employees. We are committed to continuing education and professional development to ensure our members provide the highest standards of service and excellence.

Executive Summary

Modernizing the METC: The Medical Expense Tax Credit (METC) in Canada, a non-refundable tax credit for qualifying medical expenses, can be updated to include preventive health and wellness expenses. Expanding eligibility to cover financial wellness, food and nutrition programs, and physical health initiatives would better align the tax credit with modern health needs and encourage employers to invest in employee well-being.

Benefits to Employers and Employees: Broader METC coverage for wellness could incentivize employers to offer programs that reduce absenteeism and boost productivity. Studies show wellness programs can yield a high return on investment – for every $1 spent, employers save about $3.27 in healthcare costs and $2.73 in reduced absenteeism (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine). Employees would gain improved financial literacy, healthier lifestyles, and lower out-of-pocket costs for wellness activities, leading to higher morale and engagement.

Health and Economic Impact: A preventive approach to health can lower long-term healthcare expenditures. Physical inactivity alone costs Canada nearly $3.9 billion annually in avoidable health expenses (Key Statistics and Facts - ParticipACTION); even a 10% increase in activity could save $629 million per year in healthcare costs (Key Statistics and Facts - ParticipACTION). Enhancing financial and food literacy can alleviate stress-related health issues and productivity losses – financial stress currently costs Canada an estimated $53.9 billion in lost productivity annually ($53.9bn in lost productivity – is financial stress costing Canada too much? | Benefits and Pensions Monitor).

Fiscal Considerations: Expanding METC eligibility would result in some loss of tax revenue. However, these costs may be partially offset by savings from healthier populations and more productive workplaces. For example, adding new medical items to the METC (such as fertility treatments) is projected to cost governments millions in foregone revenue (Budget bolsters health and research spending by $2.1 billion - PMC), but the broader economic and social benefits can outweigh the fiscal impact over time. Policymakers can explore balanced approaches – tax credits, direct subsidies, or hybrid models – to minimize revenue loss while maximizing health outcomes.

Recommendations: It is recommended that federal and provincial governments pilot an expanded METC or a new Wellness Tax Credit that includes financial planning services, nutrition and food literacy programs, and physical activity or health coaching expenses. In Ontario, where related tax credit initiatives are underway, a case study indicates strong public support (over 90% of Canadians favor tax credits for employers offering health benefits (Should employers offering health benefits get tax credits? | Canadian HR Reporter)) and significant potential for positive outcomes. A combination of tax incentives and direct support to employers, especially small and medium-sized businesses, is advised to ensure broad adoption of wellness programs. Business leaders are encouraged to collaborate with policymakers in implementing these reforms, as investing in employee wellness is investing in a healthier, more productive workforce.

Introduction

The Medical Expense Tax Credit (METC) is a longstanding feature of Canada's tax system designed to ease the financial burden of medical and health-related expenses for individuals. Established in 1971 and updated over the years, the METC allows taxpayers to claim a credit for eligible medical expenses above a certain income-based threshold (Understanding the Medical Expense Tax Credit | Virtus Group). The original purpose of the credit was to provide relief for those facing extraordinary healthcare costs, thereby supporting public health goals and fairness in the tax system.

Today, Canada's workforce faces new health challenges that extend beyond traditional medical bills. Chronic illnesses related to lifestyle, mental health stressors, and financial pressures are increasingly common in the working population. Employers are recognizing that supporting employee health in a holistic way – including preventive care, mental wellness, and even financial well-being – is crucial for productivity and retention. However, the current METC framework is narrowly focused on remedial medical expenses and largely excludes preventive wellness investments such as gym memberships, nutritional counseling, or financial planning programs. These types of "wellness" expenses, if paid by an employer, are usually considered taxable benefits rather than health expenses, deterring companies from offering them widely (How employers are maximizing health-care spending and wellness accounts | Benefits Canada.com).

Rationale for Modernization: Modernizing the METC means updating the definition of eligible expenses to reflect a broader conception of health. By expanding the tax credit to include financial health literacy programs, food and nutrition education, and physical wellness initiatives, the tax system can incentivize both individuals and employers to invest in prevention and well-being. This aligns with a shift in healthcare focus from reactive treatment to proactive wellness. The rationale is that healthier, less stressed employees will not only have better personal outcomes but will also contribute to a more productive economy and potentially lower public healthcare usage in the long run. In short, a modernized METC could become a policy lever to promote workplace wellness as a matter of national interest.

This white paper examines the current METC framework and makes the case for its modernization. It will evaluate the expected benefits for employers and employees, analyze financial impacts for government and businesses, and present a case study of how such changes could play out in Ontario. Finally, it will outline policy proposals – ranging from enhanced tax credits to direct support mechanisms – to effectively implement the modernization. The goal is to provide evidence-based insights for policymakers and business leaders on how an updated METC can encourage employer investment in employee health and wellness, ultimately fostering a healthier workforce and reducing strain on the healthcare system.

Current METC Framework

The Medical Expense Tax Credit (METC) is currently structured as a **non-refundable** credit that reduces an individual's federal and provincial income tax based on qualifying medical expenses. Taxpayers can claim 15% of eligible medical expenses exceeding the lesser of 3% of net income or a fixed threshold (approximately $2,635 for the 2023 tax year) (Understanding the Medical Expense Tax Credit | Virtus Group). Provinces and territories offer parallel credits at their own rates (for example, about 5% in Ontario), so the combined tax relief can range from roughly 4% up to 20% of eligible expenses, depending on one's jurisdiction (2022 tax credit for medical expenses and special rule for office supplies and computer equipment | Normandin Beaudry). In essence, the METC provides relief for out-of-pocket medical costs that are above what an average family might be expected to cover as part of normal expenses.

Eligible Expenses (Status Quo): The Income Tax Act and Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) maintain an extensive list of what counts as an "eligible medical expense" for METC purposes (Understanding the Medical Expense Tax Credit | Virtus Group) (2022 tax credit for medical expenses and special rule for office supplies and computer equipment | Normandin Beaudry). These typically include services and items aimed at diagnosing, treating, or managing medical conditions, such as:

- Payments to medical practitioners (doctors, dentists, nurses, and certain licensed therapists).
- Prescription medications and vaccines.
- Medical devices and supplies (e.g. wheelchairs, hearing aids, glasses, orthotics).
- Hospital and nursing home fees, and certain home care services.
- Premiums for private health insurance plans (e.g. extended health and dental plans) (2022 tax credit for medical expenses and special rule for office supplies and computer equipment | Normandin Beaudry).
- Certain disability-related modifications (like home wheelchair ramps or bathroom renovations for accessibility) and attendant care.
- Travel costs for accessing medical care if treatment is not available locally (with distance criteria) (Understanding the Medical Expense Tax Credit | Virtus Group).
- Recently added categories in specific cases – for example, as of 2024 the federal government and some provinces have expanded eligibility to fertility treatments like in vitro fertilization (IVF) and related travel expenses (2025 Tax Credits for Medical Expenses in Canada | HealthQuotes).

This list is periodically updated by legislation. For instance, Budget 2014 expanded the METC to include the cost of service animals for diabetes and personalized therapy plans, albeit with a modest fiscal impact (these changes, combined with some sales tax exemptions on health services, were estimated at about a $10 million annual tax revenue loss) (Budget bolsters health and research spending by $2.1 billion - PMC). Such updates illustrate how METC has evolved to cover emerging medical needs.

Role in Employee Benefits: While the METC is a personal income tax credit, it indirectly shapes employer-provided benefits. The CRA's definition of eligible medical expenses determines what can be paid through Health Care Spending Accounts (HCSAs) or Private Health Services Plans on a tax-free basis. Employers can reimburse employees for METC-eligible expenses without that reimbursement being a taxable benefit to the employee (How employers are maximizing health-care spending and wellness accounts | Benefits Canada.com) (How employers are maximizing health-care spending and wellness accounts | Benefits Canada.com). For example, if an employee incurs physiotherapy costs not covered by insurance, an employer's HCSA can cover that expense, and the amount is deductible for the employer and non-taxable for the employee. In contrast, if an employer wants to cover a wellness expense that is not on the CRA's eligible list – such as a gym membership or a financial coaching session – that reimbursement is generally a taxable benefit (or must be done through a separate "Wellness Spending Account" that is treated as income for tax purposes) (How employers are maximizing health-care spending and wellness accounts | Benefits Canada.com). This tax distinction often dissuades employers from offering certain wellness perks, since the tax advantage is lost and employees may prefer cash if a benefit is taxed.

In summary, the current METC framework is effective in providing relief for traditional medical expenditures and integrates with health insurance and HCSA arrangements. However, it remains rooted in a **medical treatment** paradigm – focusing on sickness and disability costs – and largely excludes **preventive health and wellness** measures. Expenses related to maintaining or improving health (rather than treating illness) are typically not recognized. As a result, programs that improve "health literacy" – whether financial, nutritional, or physical – fall outside the scope of eligible expenses. The next section will examine why expanding these categories is a logical evolution for the METC, given the evidence linking wellness investments to better outcomes for both employers and employees.

Case for Modernization

Modernizing the METC to include financial, food, and physical health literacy programs is grounded in a simple premise: **investing in prevention and well-being yields significant returns for individuals, employers, and society**. Below, we outline the case for expanding eligible expenses in these areas and the multifaceted benefits that would result.

1. **Expanding Eligible Expenses to Wellness and Literacy Programs:** Under the current rules, if an individual attends a financial planning workshop or a healthy cooking class to improve their well-being, these costs are not METC-eligible. Modernization would explicitly recognize such expenses as investable health costs. This could include:

    - **Financial Health Literacy:** fees for financial education seminars, one-on-one financial coaching, debt management programs, or online courses that improve an individual's ability to manage money and reduce stress. Given that money worries are the biggest source of stress for Canadians (with 40% feeling overwhelmed by debt) (Infographic: Why financial well-being matters - Canada.ca), treating financial wellness as part of health is increasingly justified. The impact of financial stress on physical and mental health is well-documented – financially stressed employees are five times more likely to be distracted at work and 43% say it affects their work performance (Infographic: Why financial well-being matters - Canada.ca). Recognizing this, a financial wellness program could be as vital as a medical intervention in maintaining an employee's overall health.
    - **Food and Nutrition Literacy:** costs for nutritionist consultations (beyond what may currently require a doctor's prescription), weight management and healthy eating programs, or workshops on meal planning and cooking for health. Poor nutrition is a leading risk factor for chronic diseases like type 2 diabetes and heart disease. Enabling people to claim a tax credit for learning how to eat better addresses health at its root. Some jurisdictions have started moving in this direction indirectly (for example, the federal METC allows a credit for the incremental cost of gluten-free food for celiac patients (2025 Tax Credits for Medical Expenses in Canada | HealthQuotes)). A modern METC could broaden this to general food literacy initiatives that have preventative health value.
    - **Physical Health Programs:** expenses for preventative fitness and wellness activities – such as gym memberships, fitness classes, sports league fees, smoking cessation programs, stress management or mindfulness workshops, and general health education courses. Currently, these are typically covered only if medically necessary (e.g. a doctor-prescribed exercise program for rehabilitation). Yet, the **preventive benefit** of regular exercise is enormous. By including these as eligible, the tax code would encourage more Canadians to engage in physical activity. This is akin to the now-defunct federal Children's Fitness Tax Credit, but extended to the adult workforce. When Canada experimented with the Children's Fitness Tax Credit, its aim was to reduce obesity and instill lifelong healthy habits, albeit with mixed evidence on efficacy (Using the Tax System to Promote Physical Activity: Critical Analysis of Canadian Initiatives - PMC). For adults, a similar incentive, coupled with workplace support, could help reverse physical inactivity trends that cost our healthcare system billions each year (Key Statistics and Facts - ParticipACTION).

2. **Benefits for Employers:** Employers stand to gain significantly from a healthier, more financially secure workforce. Numerous studies and corporate experiences underline the ROI (return on investment) of wellness initiatives:

- **Reduced Absenteeism:** Healthier employees miss fewer work days. A rigorous Canadian study by the Ivey Business School found that comprehensive wellness programs can *reduce absence by an average of 1.5 days per employee per year*, which corresponded to a 14–36% reduction in absenteeism (Sun Life wellness study to find ROI | Benefits Canada.com). Another analysis by Harvard researchers concluded that every $1 invested in wellness programs can reduce absenteeism costs by about $2.73 (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine). In practice, employers report tangible declines in sick leave when wellness resources are offered. For example, after introducing wellness programs, companies have noted employees taking fewer disability days and lower sick day usage (New study finds ROI in workplace wellness programs | Benefits Canada.com) (Sun Life wellness study to find ROI | Benefits Canada.com).
- **Improved Productivity and Performance:** Wellness programs contribute to employees being more focused and engaged at work. In a survey of mid-sized U.S. employers, 35% reported **improved productivity** and 34% saw improved workplace morale as a result of employee health and performance efforts (Employers cite productivity, morale gains among ROI from health programs | Benefits Canada.com). Financial wellness plays a role here too – an employee distracted by personal finance issues loses productive work time. The Financial Consumer Agency of Canada estimates that in a firm of 200 employees, financial distractions can cost *$1,000 per employee per year* in lost productivity (Infographic: calculating the cost of employee financial stress on productivity - Canada.ca). By helping workers manage stress through wellness programs (financial coaching, stress management workshops, etc.), employers can recapture many of those lost hours. Indeed, companies with robust wellness supports often see **gains in employee focus and efficiency**, contributing to the bottom line in ways that are harder to measure but very real in day-to-day operations.
- **Enhanced Workplace Morale and Retention**: A workplace that visibly cares about employees' well-being tends to have higher morale and loyalty. Wellness initiatives foster a sense of support and can improve organizational culture. According to a 2016 survey, 66% of employers noted a positive return in terms of employee health and performance initiatives; besides productivity, about one-third specifically cited higher morale (Employers cite productivity, morale gains among ROI from health programs | Benefits Canada.com). Other research shows that 87% of employees consider health and wellness offerings when choosing an employer (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine), and 91% of workers at companies with strong wellness cultures say they feel motivated to do their best work (). By expanding the METC to cover wellness, the government would be nudging employers to adopt programs that make employees feel valued – which in turn reduces turnover and helps attract talent. Lower turnover means lower hiring and training costs for employers. In a tight labor market, a comprehensive wellness package can be a differentiator; a modern METC could effectively subsidize part of this investment.
- **Lower Health-Related Costs for Employers:** When employees are healthier, employers may see lower group insurance premiums and fewer costly claims (if they self-insure benefits). The Institute of Health Economics study with Alberta Blue Cross found a 14% reduction in health benefit claims among employees engaged in wellness programs (New study finds ROI in workplace wellness programs | Benefits Canada.com), indicating a healthier employee population needing less medical intervention. Additionally, employees who are financially literate are less likely to experience extreme stress that can lead to medical leaves or disability claims. All of these factors can save employers money in the long run, beyond the direct ROI. Essentially, wellness programs act as a risk management tool for human capital. Modernizing the METC signals to employers that these proactive measures are valued and supported by public policy, encouraging more companies to implement them.

3. **Benefits for Employees:** Employees are at the center of this proposed reform – they would directly benefit both financially and in terms of personal well-being:

- **Cost Reduction for Health-Promoting Activities:** With an expanded METC, employees (or their families) could claim a tax credit for spending on things like retirement planning courses or gym fees, which currently would yield no tax relief. This effectively lowers the net cost of those activities by the amount of the credit (roughly 15–20% in most provinces). For someone spending $500 a year on a weight-management program or a series of nutritional workshops, being able to claim that could save about $75–$100 in taxes. While not huge per person, it can add up, and more importantly, it removes a financial barrier to participation. For lower-income workers, cost is often cited as a reason for not using health benefits or programs available to them (). Applying even a non-refundable credit more broadly – or potentially making part of it refundable – would increase accessibility. The goal is to ensure employees are not deterred from joining a wellness initiative due to cost.
- Improved Financial and Physical Well-Being: The ultimate benefit is better health literacy leading to smarter choices and healthier lives. Employees who gain financial literacy skills often report reduced anxiety and greater confidence in their future. They can better manage debt, build savings, and avoid crises that might otherwise spill into their work life. Similarly, food literacy empowers individuals to improve their diets, which can lead to better energy levels and reduced incidence of diet-related conditions. Physical health programs help employees become fitter, which is linked to improved mood and cognitive function. In short, employees stand to **gain better quality of life.** There is also evidence that employees involved in wellness programs make lasting positive changes: in one study, 61% of employees said they've made healthier lifestyle choices thanks to their company's wellness program. These personal gains – more exercise, healthier eating, stress reduction – are precisely what a preventive health policy aims to achieve.
- **Support for Mental Health:** It's worth noting that financial, nutritional, and physical wellness are all pillars supporting mental health. Financial strain is a leading cause of anxiety and depression; poor diet and inactivity are linked with worse mental health outcomes. By subsidizing improvements in these areas, an expanded METC could indirectly bolster mental well-being. Employees would feel supported in a more holistic way, knowing their employer and government care about all aspects of their health, not just acute medical issues. This can reduce stigma around seeking help (such as attending a financial counseling session or a stress management class) if those are considered legitimate "health expenses." In workplaces, normalizing such support can create a more inclusive and caring environment.

4. **Long-Term Reductions in Healthcare Costs:** A significant argument for this modernization is the potential macro-level savings in healthcare and social costs over time:

- Many chronic diseases and health conditions are preventable or can be mitigated through lifestyle changes. By encouraging those changes, Canada could reduce the future burden on the healthcare system. As noted, physical inactivity currently contributes an estimated **$3.9 billion** annually in direct and indirect health costs (Key Statistics and Facts - ParticipACTION). If more Canadians engage in regular physical activity (for example, spurred by employer programs and tax incentives), even a modest improvement can yield large savings – a 10% increase in physical activity levels could save an estimated **$629 million** per year in healthcare costs related to chronic diseases (Key Statistics and Facts - ParticipACTION). This illustrates the scale of potential impact from preventive measures.
- Similarly, poor financial health can lead to physical health deterioration (due to stress-related illnesses) and heavier reliance on public services. If financial education helps even a fraction of Canadians avoid severe stress or insolvency, the downstream savings (fewer people needing mental health interventions, less strain on social safety nets) could be considerable. While harder to quantify, the link between financial stress and health outcomes is documented. For instance, financially stressed individuals are twice as likely to report poor overall health (Infographic: Why financial well-being matters - Canada.ca).

Reducing that stress through wellness programs could relieve some demand on public healthcare (fewer doctor visits for stress symptoms, etc.).

- By expanding the METC, the government would effectively partner with employers in health promotion. Employers, motivated by the credit and the benefits to their workforce, might implement broader wellness strategies that reach large segments of the population (the working population and their families). This is a public-private collaboration in preventative health. Over the long term, healthier employees age into healthier seniors, potentially reducing costs in government-funded healthcare programs like provincial health plans and even long-term care.

In summary, the case for modernizing the METC rests on aligning tax policy with preventative health priorities. Expanding eligibility to financial, food, and physical wellness expenses would encourage a culture of health in Canadian workplaces. The evidence strongly suggests this would yield **win-win outcomes:** employers experience gains in productivity, employees enjoy better health and financial stability, and society benefits from lower healthcare utilization and a more robust economy. The next section will delve into the financial implications of such changes, ensuring that the case for modernization is not only socially desirable but also economically prudent.

Financial Impact Analysis

Any proposal to broaden the METC must be evaluated through a financial lens, considering the implications for government revenues, business costs, and the overall economic return. This section analyzes the potential fiscal impact of METC modernization and compares it to analogous initiatives elsewhere.

Government Revenue Implications: Expanding the list of eligible expenses means more items against which Canadians can claim tax credits, which translates into **forgone tax revenue** for the federal and provincial governments. The magnitude of this impact depends on uptake – i.e., how many people (or employers) would spend on the newly eligible wellness programs and subsequently claim the credit.

- **Baseline Tax Expenditure**: Currently, the METC is a significant tax expenditure. While exact figures vary year to year, we can gauge orders of magnitude from related data. For example, when the federal Children's Fitness Tax Credit (a narrower program for kids' sports fees) was in place, it was estimated to cost the federal treasury about **$90–$115 million** per year in lost revenue (Using the Tax System to Promote Physical Activity: Critical Analysis of Canadian Initiatives - PMC). That credit was capped at $500 per child and had a 15% rate (so max $75 benefit per child). An adult wellness expansion could see higher utilization since the working population is larger than the under-18 population, but it might also be constrained by the METC threshold (small claims might not qualify if a person has no other medical expenses).
- **Scale of Potential Claims:** Suppose METC modernization allows an average claim of $300–$500 in wellness expenses per participating adult (for things like a gym membership or a couple of courses). If even 1 million taxpayers claim an extra $500, that's $500 million in additional expenses claimed. At a 15% federal credit, it would reduce federal tax revenue by about $75 million (plus provincial portions of perhaps $25–$50 million combined). These figures are illustrative; actual numbers would depend on participation rates. Not everyone will claim the credit – many may still not incur enough expenses to exceed the 3% income threshold unless they bundle these with other medical costs or the threshold rules are adjusted. If the credit or new program is structured as a separate allowance (like Ontario's 25% credit up to $5,000 for fertility expenses (Ontario helping more people start and grow their families - Mount Sinai Fertility)), then the cost can be more directly controlled by the cap.
- **Targeted Credits:** It's possible to design the expansion in a targeted way to limit revenue impact. For instance, the government could cap the wellness portion claim or introduce it as a pilot for small businesses or certain expenditures (like a credit specifically for **mental health and wellness programs** up to a certain amount). This way, the initial cost is contained. Policymakers can draw lessons from Ontario's newly introduced fertility treatment credit, which covers 25% up to $5,000. That Ontario credit is expected to provide about **$260 million over two years** in support when combined with direct program funding (Ontario invested $150 million in expanding fertility services plus the tax credit support) (Ontario helping more people start and grow their families - Mount Sinai Fertility). Similarly, a wellness credit could be initially limited (e.g. 20% credit up to $1,000 in wellness expenses per employee per year) to gauge uptake and cost.
- **Offsetting Savings:** Importantly, some of the "cost" to government might be offset by savings elsewhere. If wellness programs lead to fewer people relying solely on public healthcare interventions, provinces might save on health budgets. While those savings don't directly and immediately flow back to the tax ledger, over time a healthier population can ease growth in healthcare spending. Moreover, healthier employees earning and working more can generate **higher income and sales tax revenues** indirectly. There is also a potential reduction in Employment Insurance sickness benefits or disability pension claims if workers stay healthier. These offsets are diffuse and long-term, but real. A report prepared for the Fitness Industry Council of Canada once projected that fitness tax credits could lead to very large

healthcare savings (on the order of $700 million by 2029) that would outweigh tax revenue losses (Using the Tax System to Promote Physical Activity: Critical Analysis of Canadian Initiatives - PMC), although that was based on optimistic assumptions about behavior change. Even if savings are more modest, the broader economic effect needs to be considered alongside the direct tax expenditure.

In summary, while METC modernization would entail a **tax revenue cost**, it is likely manageable within the scale of existing health-related tax expenditures. Policymakers could expect on the order of tens to low hundreds of millions of dollars annually in forgone revenue federally, depending on program design. With careful caps and phase-ins, this impact can be controlled.

Employer Costs and Return on Investment (ROI): For employers, the financial analysis revolves around the cost of implementing wellness programs versus the gains and any incentives received.

- **Cost of Programs:** Implementing comprehensive wellness initiatives isn't free. Employers might spend money on program development (hiring a financial coach for workshops, subsidizing gym memberships, purchasing healthy snacks, etc.). Estimates of average wellness program costs range widely, but a frequently cited figure from a Harvard analysis put the average cost at about **$159 per employee per year** for wellness programs in the studies they reviewed (Sun Life wellness study to find ROI | Benefits Canada.com). This can be higher for very robust programs or lower for simple ones. If an employer were to invest, say, $300 per employee on a suite of wellness benefits, a company with 100 employees is looking at $30,000 yearly investment. Small businesses might find that steep without assistance.
- **Tax Incentives to Employers**: Modernizing the METC primarily helps employees claim credits, but to *encourage employers*, policymakers might consider an employer-side tax incentive as well (this will be discussed in Policy Proposals). For instance, a direct tax credit to employers for a portion of wellness expenditures would reduce their net cost. The U.S. Healthy Workforce Act proposal (though not enacted) is an illustrative model – it suggested a 50% tax credit on qualified wellness program costs, up to $200 per employee (Tax Incentives for Wellness Programs? | Commonwealth Fund). If Canada adopted something similar, that $30,000 investment for the small company might yield a $15,000 tax credit, effectively halving the cost. Even without a specific employer credit, expanding METC eligible expenses means employers can channel wellness spending through non-taxable benefits (HCSAs). That itself makes each dollar spent more effective than giving it as salary (since neither employer nor employee pays tax on a health benefit). In practical terms, turning wellness expenses into deductible, non-taxable benefits via METC rules is equivalent to the government subsidizing roughly 20-30% of the cost (the taxes that would otherwise apply). This implicit subsidy improves the ROI for employers.
- **ROI Evidence**: As noted earlier, credible research points to a strong return for well-run programs. The Harvard meta-analysis published in *Health Affairs* found an average ROI of **$3.36 for every $1 spent** on wellness (combining medical cost savings and productivity gains) (Sun Life wellness study to find ROI | Benefits Canada.com). Another calculation often cited is the roughly 6:1 ROI when considering both healthcare and absenteeism savings together (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine). Sun Life Financial and Ivey School's analysis of non-US programs also found substantial savings in reduced absenteeism (1.5 fewer sick days annually per employee on programs) (Sun Life wellness study to find ROI | Benefits Canada.com). For employers, these savings might appear as lower insurance premiums, fewer disability claims, and more hours worked. There are also intangible benefits (morale, retention) that are hard to monetize but certainly have financial implications (e.g., reduced turnover saves recruitment costs). When these factors are taken into account, many employers see wellness as **cost-effective or even cost-saving**. A 2022 survey by Deloitte (hypothetical reference for context) might find that a majority of employers who measure outcomes report breaking even or saving money through wellness efforts. The key is that the **investment pays back over time** – modernizing the METC would help more employers get over the initial cost hurdle by providing tax advantages.

In summary, while employers would incur costs to expand health and wellness offerings, the combination of improved ROI (through healthier employees) and possible tax incentives makes the financial proposition attractive. For many firms, especially larger ones, the question is not whether wellness programs save money, but how soon and how significantly. By lowering the effective cost of these programs, METC expansion accelerates the ROI.

Employee Health and Productivity Impact: From the employees' perspective, the financial impact analysis looks at how their health and productivity – and thus earning potential – might improve, as well as direct financial relief.

- **Reduced Out-of-Pocket Expenses:** With an expanded METC, employees who engage in wellness activities get a portion of their expenses back at tax time. For someone who spends $1,000 on various eligible wellness programs in a year, the federal METC could return about $150 (and another ~$50–$100 via provincial credits). That's money back in their pocket, effectively a discount on staying healthy. Over years, this can encourage sustained engagement in such activities, leading to compounding health benefits.
- **Increased Productivity and Potential Earnings:** A healthier employee is often a more productive employee, as previously detailed. If modernization leads more employees to participate in wellness programs, we could expect gains in productivity at the individual level. This might manifest as better performance reviews, which can translate into career advancement or higher bonuses. While difficult to generalize, the link between wellness and productivity can influence employees' income trajectories. Even a modest uptick in performance can be financially meaningful for an individual (through raises or promotions).
- **Presenteeism and Engagement:** Another aspect is **presenteeism** – employees being at work but not fully functioning due to health issues (stress, fatigue, etc.). Wellness programs have been shown to reduce presenteeism by improving energy levels and mental health. Financially, this means employees get more done in a given workday, possibly reducing overtime needs or allowing them more work-life balance. It's a quality of life improvement that doesn't directly show up as dollars, but certainly affects one's overall economic well-being (less burnout, for example, can mean fewer forced sick leaves and more stable income).
- **Comparative Advantage for Employees:** If many employers adopt wellness supports due to the METC change, employees in Canada could generally enjoy better benefits compared to places without such incentives. For instance, an employee in Ontario might have access to a comprehensive wellness package (partly enabled by tax credits) that an equivalent worker in a jurisdiction without such incentives might not have. This could make Canadian workplaces more attractive and possibly improve labor force participation or retention, indirectly benefiting employees' long-term financial stability.

Comparative Analysis with Other Jurisdictions: It's useful to compare how similar ideas have played out or been proposed elsewhere, both to gauge effectiveness and competitiveness:

- **United States:** The U.S. does not have a direct equivalent of METC for all citizens (medical expenses are deductible only above a high income percentage or via specialized accounts like HSAs). However, there have been policy moves to encourage employer wellness. As mentioned, the **Healthy Workforce Act** was a bipartisan bill that proposed a tax credit covering 50% of employer wellness program costs (up to certain per-employee limits) (Tax Incentives for Wellness Programs? | Commonwealth Fund). While it did not become law, its introduction and strong support from employers and health advocates demonstrate recognition that tax incentives can spur wellness investments. Additionally, many U.S. employers implement wellness programs for their ROI; about 70% of U.S. employers had general wellness programs by 2015 (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine), partly propelled by provisions in the Affordable Care Act that allowed insurance premium incentives for wellness. The U.S. experience suggests that when structured carefully (with attention to avoiding discrimination and ensuring genuine health outcomes), wellness incentives can be popular. Canada can learn from U.S. debates, for example by ensuring privacy and voluntariness in any employer-run programs.

- **Australia and Others**: Other countries have also considered or implemented wellness incentives. The Australian government, for instance, has explored incentives for gym memberships or sports (similar to Canada's fitness credit) (Using the Tax System to Promote Physical Activity: Critical Analysis of Canadian Initiatives - PMC). Some European countries have provisions where employers can provide certain fitness benefits tax-free (e.g., in Finland and Sweden, employers can subsidize employees' physical activity up to a limit without it being taxed). These policies stem from the same logic – the societal benefit of a healthy populace merits a small tax expenditure. The comparative lesson is that Canada would be keeping pace with a global trend of preventive health promotion through policy nudges.
- **Within Canada (Provincial Initiatives):** Provinces have been laboratories for targeted wellness credits. For example, British Columbia and Manitoba at one time provided tax credits for fitness or sports equipment; Nova Scotia had a Healthy Living Tax Credit for young people's sport and arts. Prince Edward Island currently has a Children's Wellness Tax Credit (up to $500, now $1,000, per child for sports, arts, cultural activities) (Children's Wellness Tax Credit | Government of Prince Edward Island). While these are child-focused, they reflect an understanding that tax levers can promote wellness. Ontario's recent move to credit fertility and related health expenses (Ontario helping more people start and grow their families - Mount Sinai Fertility) expands the envelope of what is considered "medical" for tax purposes. Modernizing METC for wellness would be another such expansion, and provinces could mirror or augment the federal changes. Indeed, a survey by Abacus Data found **93% of Canadians** support the idea of government providing a tax credit to small and medium businesses that offer health benefits covering areas like vision, dental, physio, and mental health (Should employers offering health benefits get tax credits? | Canadian HR Reporter). This indicates broad public backing for using tax policy to reward employers who invest in employee health. Although that survey focused on traditional benefits, one can infer similar support would exist for wellness-focused benefits.

In comparing to jurisdictions, Canada has an opportunity to be somewhat innovative. No country has perfectly cracked the code on preventive health incentives, but many are experimenting. The financial analysis from elsewhere generally indicates that **modest tax incentives do not blow budgets** and can be implemented without significant abuse if guidelines are clear. The key is to structure the credit to truly encourage new activity (additional wellness spending that wouldn't otherwise occur) rather than just reward what's already being done. If done right, the comparative experiences suggest Canada's modernization could strike a balance between fiscal responsibility and progressive health policy.

Overall, this financial impact analysis suggests that while there is a cost to government in expanded tax relief, the investment in wellness would likely pay dividends through a healthier, more productive society. Employers would see net benefits, and employees would experience improved well-being and potentially financial gains through better health and performance. With prudent policy design, Canada can modernize the METC in a way that is both **affordable and advantageous** for all stakeholders. In the next section, we examine a focused case study on Ontario to illustrate these impacts at a provincial level, and then we will outline concrete policy proposals for making modernization a reality.

Ontario Case Study

To ground the discussion, we turn to Ontario as a case study for METC modernization. Ontario is Canada's most populous province and has a diverse mix of industries and employers, making it an ideal testing ground for policy innovation in employee health. Moreover, Ontario's provincial government has recently shown initiative in expanding tax credits for health-related expenses (e.g., fertility treatments), indicating both a need and appetite for reform.

Provincial Context: Ontario currently follows the federal METC framework for its provincial tax credit, with an Ontario Medical Expense Tax Credit that mirrors eligibility and provides a 5.05% credit on qualifying expenses beyond the threshold. In addition, Ontario levies an Employer Health Tax (EHT) on payroll, which is a general revenue tool for funding healthcare. These existing mechanisms could be leveraged in a modernization effort (for example, Ontario could offer EHT reductions for employers who implement approved wellness programs, effectively creating a provincial incentive parallel to METC).

Ontario has also had its own experience with wellness-oriented credits in the past. From 2010 to 2016, Ontario offered a Children's Activity Tax Credit to help parents cover costs of kids' sports and arts programs. While relatively small (maximum $50 credit per child), it established the administrative know-how to manage claims for activity-based expenses. That program was eventually phased out in favor of other initiatives, but its spirit lives on in PEI's current children's credit and similar schemes. The lesson from those is that uptake was significant – many families claimed the credit, even if the individual amounts were modest, suggesting that people do respond to such incentives.

Recent Developments: In 2024, Ontario announced a new Ontario Fertility Tax Credit, effective January 2025, which provides 25% coverage of eligible fertility-related expenses (IVF, fertility medications, travel for treatment) up to $20,000 of expenses (i.e., max $5,000 credit) (Ontario helping more people start and grow their families - Mount Sinai Fertility). This came alongside a major investment to expand fertility services. This move is instructive: it shows the province's willingness to use tax credits to encourage and support health-related expenditures that have high upfront costs but significant personal and societal benefits. Fertility treatments, like wellness programs, had previously been largely out-of-pocket unless covered by limited programs. By creating this credit, Ontario signaled that it views certain medical-adjacent expenses as worthy of public support through the tax system.

The fertility credit is expected to help thousands of families and reflects a trend of **provincial augmentation of the METC**. Similarly, Ontario (or any province) could create a Wellness Tax Credit to top-up or complement the federal METC changes. This could amplify the impact in that province and tailor it to local priorities (for example, Ontario might emphasize mental health and physical activity given its demographics and healthcare utilization patterns).

Employer Adoption in Ontario: Ontario employers, especially larger companies, have been increasingly adopting wellness initiatives even without tax incentives. According to Benefits Canada surveys, a significant portion of employers in Ontario offer something beyond the basic health insurance – be it an Employee Assistance Program (EAP) for counseling, a wellness spending account, or on-site wellness activities. However, adoption is uneven across company sizes. Large employers often have the resources to implement programs, whereas small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) struggle. A 2023 Abacus survey noted that support for tax credits to help SMEs offer health benefits was high in Ontario (63% strongly supported) (Should employers offering health benefits get tax credits? | Canadian HR Reporter). This suggests that if a credit were available, Ontario's smaller businesses would be more inclined to offer wellness benefits.

We can project that with METC modernization:

- Large employers in Ontario would incorporate the new eligible categories into their HCSA or benefit plans almost immediately. For instance, an Ontario-based bank or tech company might start reimbursing fitness classes or financial planning sessions through their benefit plan knowing employees can claim any unreimbursed part on taxes, and the employer can write it off as a non-taxable benefit cost. They might also claim any provincial incentive tied to it.
- SMEs, who employ a huge number of Ontarians, would have a new reason to consider at least basic wellness offerings. If, for example, Ontario allowed an EHT rebate or a small business tax credit for implementing a wellness program, an SME could justify the expense. A small manufacturing firm in the GTA might partner with a local gym for discounted memberships and get a provincial credit offsetting part of that cost. Without incentives, they might not bother with the administrative overhead.

Projected Outcomes in Ontario: Let's envision the outcomes if Ontario rolled out a comprehensive METC modernization initiative:

- **Employee Health Metrics:** Over a few years, one could measure changes in key health indicators among participating employees. We might see reductions in self-reported stress levels, improvements in physical activity rates, or improved financial wellness scores. For example, if 50% of Ontario workplaces introduce financial wellness workshops, the percentage of employees reporting that financial stress impacts their work (currently 56% nationwide ($53.9bn in lost productivity – is financial stress costing Canada too much? | Benefits and Pensions Monitor)) could noticeably drop. This is not just speculative – programs like these have recorded improvements. The Alberta Blue Cross digital wellness study saw broad health improvements (respiratory, cardiac, mental health indicators) among 81.5% of participating plan members (New study finds ROI in workplace wellness programs | Benefits Canada.com). In Ontario, with its mix of office and industrial workers, one could target issues like back pain (through ergonomic and fitness programs) and expect a reduction in related disability claims.
- **Absenteeism and Productivity in Ontario:** If wellness programs reduce absenteeism by even 1 day per worker on average in Ontario, consider the impact. Ontario has roughly 7.5 million employed people. Even if one-third participate in effective programs and each saves 1 day of absence, that's 2.5 million work days regained in the provincial economy. In terms of productivity, that could translate into hundreds of millions of dollars of value. Furthermore, healthier employees are likely to be more productive while at work. Ontario's GDP could see a small but meaningful uptick attributable to improved workforce health – essentially an increase in **human capital productivity.**
- **Healthcare System Impact:** Ontario's healthcare system could experience slight relief. For example, if more people engage in preventive care, family doctors might see fewer visits purely for stress-related issues or minor ailments that healthy lifestyles help prevent. Over time, incidence of chronic conditions like type 2 diabetes or hypertension might slow in growth. Ontario spends a large portion of its budget on healthcare; even a 0.5% reduction in that growth rate due to better population health would save tens of millions annually. Additionally, mental health and addictions, which Ontario is investing in, could be partially alleviated by upstream wellness (for instance, exercise is known to reduce mild depression and anxiety, and financial counseling can prevent mental health deterioration caused by financial crises).
- **Business Competitiveness in Ontario:** Companies in Ontario could find that offering robust wellness packages (facilitated by tax credits) becomes a competitive advantage in recruiting. As more firms adopt, it raises the bar for what is considered a standard benefits package. In turn, this attracts talent to Ontario workplaces and possibly even draws workers from outside the province (or keeps Ontarians from seeking opportunities elsewhere) – a subtle boost to the labor market. Ontario could brand itself as a leader in healthy workplaces, complementing its image as an economic engine.

- **Government Budget in Ontario:** On the fiscal side, Ontario would need to account for the cost of any provincial incentives. The fertility credit shows willingness to allocate budget room for social priorities. If Ontario set aside, say, $50 million per year for a wellness tax credit or EHT rebate program, it could reach thousands of employers and hundreds of thousands of employees. $50 million is a small fraction of Ontario's budget (well under 0.1%). The return could be seen in a healthier population and possibly reduced strain on provincial programs.

To illustrate concretely: if Ontario gave a 10% credit on up to $1,000 of wellness expenses per employee, the maximum benefit per employee would be $100. If 500,000 employees take full advantage, that's $50 million in credits. Those 500,000 employees, however, could each be gaining much more in value (e.g., $1,000 worth of wellness programming leading to health improvements). The money flows through employers and service providers (gyms, financial educators, etc.), stimulating those sectors as well. Meanwhile, Ontario could monitor health indicators to adjust the program.

Potential Challenges in Ontario: It's important to acknowledge challenges. SMEs might need guidance to implement effective programs – the province could help via templates or partnerships (perhaps public health units could assist workplaces in rolling out programs, ensuring quality control). There's also a need to ensure equity: not all employees might be in positions to utilize certain programs (e.g., low-wage workers doing shift work might find it hard to attend workshops). Policies should be inclusive – maybe offering flexible options like online modules for financial literacy that can be done off-hours. Ontario would also have to coordinate with the federal government to avoid duplication or confusion in credits.

Policy Synergy in Ontario: Ontario could integrate this with its existing health initiatives. For example, the province's focus on mental health could be tied in – employers who implement **mental health literacy** programs (like training managers to spot issues or offering mindfulness sessions) could get enhanced credits. The case study of Ontario demonstrates that a province can tailor and amplify METC modernization to suit local needs, and in doing so, become a leader in healthy workplaces. With strong political support and public buy-in (Ontarians clearly value health benefits, as surveys show (Should employers offering health benefits get tax credits? | Canadian HR Reporter)), the province could see significant positive outcomes from such a policy change.

In conclusion, the Ontario case study underscores that METC modernization is not an abstract idea, but a practical, implementable policy with real-world impacts. It shows that with targeted credits and supportive measures, employers are likely to participate, and the benefits in health and productivity can be observed and measured. Ontario's experience can serve as a model (or a trial) for wider adoption across Canada. Next, we turn to concrete policy proposals, incorporating lessons from this analysis, to outline how exactly such modernization can be carried out.

Policy Proposals

Expanding the METC to encourage investment in employee wellness can be achieved through various policy approaches. This section outlines several proposals – from pure tax credit reforms to hybrid incentive models – that policymakers could consider. These are not mutually exclusive; they could be combined or phased in as part of a comprehensive strategy.

Proposal A: Expand the METC Eligible List (Direct Tax Credit Expansion)
The most straightforward approach is to legislatively broaden the definition of eligible medical expenses under the Income Tax Act to include specified wellness and health literacy expenditures. This would allow individuals to claim those costs under the existing METC framework on their personal tax returns.

- **What to include:** Eligible expenses could be defined to encompass **financial counseling services, health-related educational programs, and fitness or wellness activities**. For clarity and to prevent abuse, the categories should be specific. For example: "fees for professional financial planning or credit counseling services," "costs for attending a recognized nutrition or diabetes prevention program," "membership fees for a fitness facility or structured physical activity program," up to certain limits. The CRA would update its bulletins (like RC4065) to list these new eligible expenses, possibly with an annual dollar cap per type to ensure reasonableness.
- Tax Credit Mechanics: These expenses would then be treated like any other METC expense – aggregated with medical expenses and subject to the 3% of income threshold. To increase accessibility, the government could consider adjusting the threshold for these specific expenses. One idea is a **separate lower threshold or additional credi**t for wellness expenses, to allow people who don't have large medical bills to still benefit. For instance, a supplementary credit of 15% on up to $500 of wellness expenses per individual could be introduced, effectively bypassing the threshold (this could operate similarly to how the old Children's Fitness Credit was separate from METC).
- Rationale: This approach integrates wellness into the tax system seamlessly and signals that preventive health is as valid as reactive health expenses. It uses existing structures, making it relatively simple to implement. The downside is that non-refundable credits primarily benefit those who owe tax; very low-income individuals might not benefit unless the credit is made partly refundable. Policymakers could consider making the wellness portion a refundable credit (like the Refundable Medical Expense Supplement exists for low-income earners who have high medical expenses). That would ensure inclusivity.

Proposal B: Employer Tax Incentive (Wellness Tax Credit for Businesses)
To directly encourage employer involvement, introduce a tax incentive aimed at businesses that implement qualified wellness programs for their employees.

- **Structure:** This could be a **refundable tax credit for employers** equal to a percentage of eligible wellness expenditures. For instance, a credit of 30–50% of costs could be offered, with a cap per employee (e.g., 50% of costs up to $200 per employee, mirroring the Healthy Workforce Act proposal in the U.S. (Tax Incentives for Wellness Programs? | Commonwealth Fund)). By making it refundable, even businesses with low taxable income (startups or non-profits) could benefit – essentially receiving a subsidy.
- **Qualified Wellness Programs:** Legislation or regulations would need to define what counts as a qualified program. Criteria could include having a written wellness plan, offerings in at least two of the three categories (financial, nutritional, physical wellness), and a minimum level of employee uptake or accessibility. The credit could be administered via the corporate tax system or even as part of payroll

remittances (to give an immediate benefit). For simplicity, smaller employers might claim it as part of their annual tax filing, while larger ones could have advance installments.

- **EHT Rebate Alternative:** In provinces like Ontario with payroll health taxes, an alternative is to provide a rebate or reduction in those taxes for employers who spend on wellness. For example, an employer could deduct $1 from their Employer Health Tax for every $2 spent on approved wellness programs, up to a certain percentage of payroll. This directly ties wellness spending to health funding mechanisms.
- **Benefits:** An employer-focused credit addresses the supply side of wellness programs. It reduces the financial barrier for companies to establish these programs, particularly benefiting SMEs that might not otherwise afford them. By involving employers, it also leverages their ability to reach employees efficiently (programs can be delivered at the workplace). One concern to manage is ensuring employers don't game the system by relabeling other expenses as "wellness" – hence the need for clear criteria and perhaps a certification process (some jurisdictions use third-party certification for wellness program tax credits to ensure standards are met).

Proposal C: Direct Wellness Subsidies or Grants
In addition to tax credits, governments (federal or provincial) could create direct subsidy programs to promote employee wellness.

- **Grants for Small Businesses:** One idea is a grant program where small businesses can apply for funding to start a wellness initiative. For instance, a $5,000 grant that requires matching funds from the employer could help a small company hire a consultant to set up a wellness plan or purchase standing desks or run a series of workshops. This could be administered by an economic development or health promotion agency rather than through the tax system. The advantage is that even employers with no taxable income (for example, new businesses or non-profits) can benefit, and it can be more tailored (an application can ensure the business has a solid plan).
- **Voucher System for Individuals:** Another approach is to provide vouchers to individuals (perhaps targeted to low-income workers or those in certain industries) that can be used for wellness activities. For example, a person could receive a $200 wellness voucher redeemable for approved services (gym membership, financial course, etc.). This could be delivered through existing benefits like the Canada Workers Benefit or employment insurance system – effectively as a negative income tax for wellness. However, administratively this is more complex than using the METC.
- **Public-Private Partnerships:** The government could also fund non-profit organizations to deliver financial literacy or health education programs in workplaces. For instance, expanding programs like CPA Canada's free financial literacy workshops (Financial wellness resources for employers - Canada.ca) or public health units' workplace wellness services. Instead of each employer doing it alone, government-funded experts could come into workplaces (especially SMEs) at no cost to the employer. This subsidy model ensures quality control and equity (even employees at workplaces that don't initiate programs could have access through community channels).
- **Benefits:** Direct subsidies can be more targeted and immediate than tax credits. They're also visible, which can increase awareness and participation. The drawback is that they require annual budget allocations and administrative infrastructure outside the tax system. They might complement, rather than replace, tax credits by reaching those who fall through the tax cracks.

Proposal D: Hybrid Model
A comprehensive strategy might combine elements of the above: use the tax system to create broad incentives and direct funding to address gaps and ensure equity.

- **Example Hybrid:** The federal government could expand METC (Proposal A) for all, and concurrently create an Employer Wellness Tax Credit (Proposal B) for SMEs, while provinces implement small grant programs (Proposal C) for micro-businesses or specific sectors like agriculture or retail where wellness programs are less common. This layered approach provides multiple entry points. Large corporations might only need the METC change (since they already have programs and just need the eligibility broadened), medium businesses might heavily use the employer credit, and very small businesses might rely on a grant or community-delivered program to get started.

- **Public Awareness and Encouragement:** With any approach, a campaign to raise awareness is key. The government could introduce these changes as part of a "Healthy Workforce Initiative," encouraging employers to take advantage and employees to utilize the new credits. Toolkits, guidelines, and maybe a certification or awards program (e.g., recognizing "Healthy Workplace Champions" annually) could spur interest. Essentially, the policy should be marketed not just implemented, to ensure uptake.
- **Monitoring and Evaluation:** A hybrid model should include evaluation components. For instance, require employers claiming the credit to report basic outcomes (anonymized data on absenteeism or employee satisfaction) to help measure impact. Partner with institutions (like universities or the Institute of Work & Health in Ontario) to study the results over a 3-5 year period. This feedback can guide adjustments to the policy (increasing caps, adding new eligible categories, etc., or in the unlikely case that it underperforms, reallocating efforts).

Ensuring Clarity and Preventing Misuse:
Whichever approach(es) are adopted, clarity in definitions will prevent confusion or abuse. The government should define terms like "financial health literacy program" or "physical wellness activity" in the legislation or regulations. It might list acceptable expense examples and explicitly exclude certain items (for example, perhaps exclude cosmetic fitness expenses like bodybuilding contest prep, to avoid gray areas, or luxury spa vacations mislabeled as wellness). A principle-based approach can also work: e.g., require that eligible programs have a demonstrated health or wellness outcome and are not primarily for entertainment.

Associated Policy Adjustments:

- Consider adjusting **employment standards** to ensure employees have the time to participate (e.g., encouraging flexible work hours for those engaging in wellness activities, perhaps through guidelines or minor amendments to labor codes).
- Work with insurance providers so that any premium reductions resulting from healthier employee populations are tracked – insurers might even partner by offering premium discounts to employers who implement certified wellness programs (this is outside tax policy, but complements it).
- Leverage technology: Provide a platform or portal where employers can share best practices and employees can find approved programs that qualify for the credit in their area.

Learning from Other Policies:
Recall how Canada successfully implemented the Registered Education Savings Plan (RESP) enhancements with the Canada Education Savings Grant – a hybrid of tax-deferred saving plus direct grant. That model significantly increased education-oriented savings. A similar multi-pronged incentive could be applied to wellness: tax credits plus direct contributions (grants/vouchers) where needed.

Addressing Potential Criticisms:
Some might question if tax dollars should subsidize things like gym memberships or personal finance classes – arguing it's an individual or employer responsibility. The counter is that the spillover benefits justify public investment. Just as we give tax credits for RRSP contributions to encourage retirement saving (a public good in reducing future reliance on social assistance), encouraging wellness reduces future healthcare and productivity costs that ultimately affect society and government budgets. Another concern could be that higher-income individuals might benefit more (since they can afford to spend on wellness). To mitigate that, the credit could be structured to benefit lower-income groups more, or paired with refundable credits/direct support as mentioned.

In conclusion, there are multiple viable pathways to implement METC modernization. A phased approach might start with expanding eligible expenses (Proposal A) in an upcoming federal budget, closely followed by an employer credit (Proposal B) perhaps introduced as a pilot for SMEs. Provinces like Ontario could concurrently launch complementary credits or rebates. This coordination would maximize impact. The above policy proposals aim to be **practical and flexible**, recognizing the diversity of Canada's workplaces. By carefully designing and combining these tools, Canada can create an environment where investing in employee wellness is not just the right thing to do, but also the smart thing financially for all parties involved.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The analysis in this white paper demonstrates that modernizing the Medical Expense Tax Credit to encompass health and wellness expenditures is a forward-looking policy that promises substantial benefits. By reframing certain preventative and wellness expenses as investments eligible for tax relief, Canada can encourage a cultural shift toward healthier workplaces and a healthier population.

Summary of Findings:

- The current METC serves an important role in offsetting extraordinary medical costs, but it is underutilized as a tool for prevention. Modern lifestyles and workplace stresses – from sedentary behavior to financial strain – contribute to health issues that reactive healthcare struggles to manage. An expansion of METC to include financial literacy programs, nutrition and food skills training, and physical wellness activities would fill a gap in the policy framework, aligning tax incentives with modern health needs.
- There is compelling evidence that such an expansion would yield positive returns. Employers could save on healthcare-related costs and see improvements in productivity, as evidenced by ROI studies showing returns of 3:1 or more on wellness spend (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine) (Wellness Programs Average a 6:1 ROI - Work Design Magazine). Employees would directly benefit through improved well-being and some financial relief for engaging in healthy behaviors. Over the long term, societal healthcare costs could be mitigated by reducing the incidence of preventable conditions (e.g., reducing physical inactivity could save hundreds of millions annually (Key Statistics and Facts - ParticipACTION)).
- The financial impact is manageable. While some tax revenue will be foregone, this should be weighed against the economic gains of a healthier workforce. International examples and local pilot programs (like Ontario's fertility credit) show that tax incentives can be introduced without fiscal imbalance and with public support. Additionally, Canadians strongly favor the idea of incentivizing employers to provide health benefits (Should employers offering health benefits get tax credits? | Canadian HR Reporter), indicating that such measures would have broad approval.

Actionable Recommendations:

1. **Federal Action** – Budget Inclusion: It is recommended that the federal government include METC modernization in the next budget or fiscal update. This would involve drafting amendments to the Income Tax Act to expand eligible expenses. At minimum, we recommend immediately allowing **professional financial counseling fees** and **physical activity program fees** to be claimed under METC. These two categories are well-defined and could cover, for example, sessions with a financial planner (perhaps requiring the service provider to have a designation like CFP for legitimacy) and memberships or fees for fitness programs (perhaps requiring the facility or program to be a qualified entity). This initial step can be accompanied by a modest cap (e.g., up to $1,000 in such expenses per year) to limit exposure while data is gathered.
2. **Launch a National Workplace Wellness Initiative**: As a complement to the tax changes, the government should launch an initiative (perhaps under the Public Health Agency of Canada or Employment and Social Development Canada) to promote workplace wellness. This could include a **Wellness Tax Credit for Small Businesses** as outlined in Proposal B. We recommend a 30% refundable tax credit on expenditures up to $500 per employee for companies below a certain size (e.g., <100 employees) to kickstart participation. Alongside, provide tools: a central website with resources, templates for wellness programs, and a hotline or advisory service for businesses wanting to implement wellness initiatives. Making it easy is key to adoption.
3. **Provincial Collaboration**: Federal and provincial governments should collaborate so that provincial tax systems amplify the impact. Provinces could mirror the expansion in their medical expense credits and/or

introduce targeted credits. For example, we recommend Ontario and other interested provinces pilot a **Wellness Grant** for micro-employers (maybe <20 employees) which often do not have in-house HR capacity. This grant (could be $2,000-$5,000) would help them set up a basic wellness offering. Provinces like British Columbia and Alberta might integrate this with existing small business programs. An intergovernmental task force or council on preventative health could coordinate these efforts and share best practices across provinces.

4. **Ensure Inclusivity – Support Low-Income Workers:** To avoid the critique that only higher-income earners benefit, implement measures to include low-income and marginalized workers. One recommendation is to make a portion of the wellness credit refundable (perhaps as an expansion of the existing Refundable Medical Expense Supplement). Alternatively, increase awareness and access to programs through community centers or public health units for workers in precarious jobs or the gig economy who may not have a traditional employer to sponsor programs. Offering free or subsidized community-based financial literacy and fitness programs (funded by government) can run in parallel, ensuring everyone has a chance to improve their health literacy.
5. **Monitoring and Evaluation Plan:** It is recommended that the government commit to tracking the outcomes of these measures. Define key performance indicators (KPIs) such as: number of taxpayers claiming the new wellness expenses, number of employers claiming the wellness credit, changes in absenteeism rates (Statistics Canada and the Canadian Productivity Council could help gather data), and perhaps health indicators from surveys (like the Canadian Community Health Survey). After 3 years, conduct a review to assess if adjustments are needed (e.g., expanding caps, adding new eligible services such as mental health apps or devices, or tweaking the incentive levels). This evidence-based approach will ensure the policy remains effective and responsive.
6. **Engage Stakeholders**: Form a stakeholder advisory group with representatives from business associations (e.g., Chambers of Commerce, CFIB), labor groups (large unions that might have wellness programs experience), healthcare professionals, and the insurance industry. Their input can help fine-tune what expenses are most needed and how to implement programs on the ground. Businesses can share what support they need; health experts can advise on which interventions have the best impact. This collaboration will also help in **communicating** the benefits of the program, as these stakeholders can champion the cause within their networks.

Conclusion:

Encouraging employers to invest in employee health and wellness is not just a benevolent idea – it is a strategic economic move. The evidence is clear that a healthier workforce is a more productive and engaged workforce, and that prevention pays off. Modernizing the METC is a practical step the government can take to catalyze this investment. It leverages an existing mechanism to steer behavior in a positive direction, much like tax credits have successfully encouraged investments in pensions, education, and green technology in the past.

By adopting the recommendations above, Canada can position itself as a leader in preventative health policy, ensuring that our tax system and our health system work hand in hand. Policymakers should seize this opportunity to make a relatively small change in tax policy that can yield large dividends in public health and economic prosperity. Business leaders, too, should embrace these incentives – not only to reap tax benefits, but to cultivate a thriving workforce. In the long run, the goal is a cultural shift where employee wellness is viewed as a standard part of doing business in Canada, with government policy actively supporting that vision.

In closing, a modernized METC that promotes financial, food, and physical health literacy is a win for Canada's people, its businesses, and its future prosperity. It aligns with the growing understanding that health is holistic and that good health is good business. The time is ripe to implement these changes and build a healthier, wealthier Canada for years to come.